

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,045	12/11/2001	Ramesh Sundaram	S01.12-0881	7113
7:	590 04/05/2004		EXAMINER	
Deirdre Megley Kvale			NOLAND, THOMAS	
	HAMPLIN & KELLY entre, Suite 1600		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2856  DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	
900 South Seco	ond Avenue			
Minneapolis, N	MN 55402-3319			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del></del>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	.•			
Advisory Action						
ŕ	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas P. Noland	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application () a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to ich places the application	n in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS .706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See Mf  136(a) and the appropriate exter  e fee. The appropriate extension  the final Office action; or (2) as	PEP  nsion fee n fee under set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			. !			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simpl	lifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed am	endment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT pl	lace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which were ne	ewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>17,22,24 and 25</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: 27.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-16,18,21,23 and 26</u> .			;			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app	proved or b) $\square$ disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons advanced in the final rejection. The processing of the wafer would appear to inherently form the air bearing surfaces while the rows and columns are still on the wafer absent any express assertion to the contrary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (571) 272-2202. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (571) 272-2208.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Thomas P. Noland Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2856

Manual II

tpn

April 2, 2004